



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/391,335	09/07/1999	RAYMOND ANTHONY JOAO	RJO26	8453

7590 06/13/2006

RAYMOND A JOAO ESQ
122 BELLEVUE PLACE
YONKERS, NY 10703

EXAMINER

GILLIGAN, CHRISTOPHER L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/391,335	JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke Gilligan	3626	

All Participants:

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(2) Ray Joao.

Status of Application: After Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103

Claims discussed:

544, 661, 762, and 776

Prior art documents discussed:

Valentino

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 C. LUKE GILLIGAN
 PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the prior art rejections of independent claims 544, 661, 762, and 776. Applicant indicated that a response would be filed with amendments to the independent claims to clarify certain features. The Examiner agreed to reconsider the prior art in view of any such changes..